EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 3

DOCKET NO.: 2008-0255-MSW-E **TCEQ ID:** RN105231880 **CASE NO.:** 35370

RESPONDENT NAME: Friedman Recycling of El Paso, LP

	Andrew Control of the	
ORDER TYPE:		
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
_AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER	4
CASE TYPE:		•
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
X_MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
TYPE OF OPERATION: Municipal solid SMALL BUSINESS: _X_Yes OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: Therefacility location. INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other the COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Region Contacts and Mailing List: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator Bryan Sinclair, Enforcement Divis Respondent: Mr. David Friedman 85009	_ No re are no complaints. There is no record of addition an the ED and the Respondent has expressed an inte rister comment period expired on August 18, 2008. or: None :: Mr. Clinton Sims, Enforcement Division, Enforce	al pending enforcement actions regarding this rest in this matter. No comments were received. ment Team 7, MC 128, (512) 239-6933; Mr.

RESPONDENT NAME: Friedman Recycling of El Paso, LP

DOCKET NO.: 2008-0255-MSW-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART: VIOLATION INFORMATION PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS CORRECTIVE ACTIONS THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O TAKEN/REQUIRED Type of Investigation: Total Assessed: \$13,872 **Corrective Actions Taken:** Complaint X Routine Total Deferred: \$2,774 The Executive Director recognizes that the ___ Enforcement Follow-up Respondent submitted to the TCEQ an X Expedited Settlement Records Review acceptable closure cost estimate on ___Financial Inability to Pay February 28, 2008. Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None **Ordering Provisions:** SEP Conditional Offset: \$0 Date of Investigation Relating to this The Order will require the Respondent to: Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue: \$423 Case: December 4, 2007 (remaining \$10,675 due in 35 monthly a. Within 60 days after the effective date of payments of \$305 each) this Agreed Order: Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: January 4, 2008 (NOE) **Site Compliance History Classification** i. Submit documentation that demonstrates High X Average Poor Background Facts: This was a routine acceptable financial assurance for the closure of the Facility; investigation. Person Compliance History Classification __ High X Average Poor ii. Develop and implement a fire WASTE prevention and suppression plan that shall Major Source: Yes X No 1) Failure to establish and maintain be made available to the local fire financial assurance for the closure of a prevention authority having jurisdiction Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002 recycling facility that stores combustible over the Facility for review and material (plastics, newspaper and coordination; cardboard) outdoors. Specifically, the iii. Obtain a permit, registration or Respondent did not have financial assurance at the time of the investigation alternative compliance for the processing of recyclable material that contains more [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 37.921 and than incidental amounts of non-recyclable 328.5(d)]. waste; and 2) Failure to have a fire prevention and suppression plan. Specifically, the b. Within 75 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written Respondent managed combustible materials (plastic, newspaper and certification and include detailed cardboard) and did not have a fire supporting documentation including prevention and suppression plan at the photographs, receipts, and/or other records time of the investigation [30 Tex. ADMIN. to demonstrate compliance with Ordering CODE § 328.5(h)]. Provisions a.i. through a.iii. 3) Failure to submit a written closure cost estimate to the TCEQ. Specifically, the Respondent did not submit a written closure cost estimate prior to beginning operations [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 328.5(c)]. 4) Failure to obtain a permit, registration or alternative compliance for the processing of recyclable material that contains more than incidental amounts of non-recyclable waste. Specifically, the Respondent has processed recyclable material that contained approximately 20% non-recyclable waste since the operations

RESPONDENT NAME: Friedman Recycling of El Paso, LP **DOCKET NO.:** 2008-0255-MSW-E

Page 3 of 3

began on April 27, 2007 [30 Tex. Admin Code § 330.7(a)].		
	′ •	

Additional ID No(s).: N/A

Policy Revision 2 (Septe.		nalty	Calculatio	n Works	heet (P	•	January 29, 2008
TCEQ							
DATES Assigned PCW	7-Jan-2008 14-Feb-2008	Screenir	ng 8-Feb-2008	EPA Due		1	
RESPONDENT/FACILITY I	NFORMATION		<u> </u>				
Respondent	riedman Recyc	ling of El	Paso, LP				
Reg. Ent. Ref. No. F Facility/Site Region 6				Major/N	linor Source	Minor	
racility/ofte Region	-LIT aso			Iwajorin	annor Source	Will JOI	
CASE INFORMATION				4			
Enf./Case ID No. 3		/ F		No.	of Violations		
Docket No. ∠ Media Program(s) N	008-0255-MSW			Fnf	Order Type	Clinton Sims	
Multi-Media	namorpar cona	· uoto	,	1		Enforcement Team 7	
Admin. Penalty \$ Li	mit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000			
					•		
			alty Calcula		ion		
TOTAL BASE PENALT	TY (Sum of v	iolatior	n base penalti	es)		Subtotal 1	\$12,500
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) T Subtotals 2-7 are obtained			Panalty (Subtotal 1) h	v the indicated per	onetne:		
Compliance Histor		rotar base	and the second of the second o	Enhancement		otals 2, 3, & 7	\$250
		nt receive	d one NOV withou	ıt same or simi	lar violations	1	
Notes	or toopondo.		ring the past five				***************************************
4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4							
Culpability	No	X 4 10%	0%	Enhancement	- 144	Subtotal 4	\$0
Notes	The Re	spondent	does not meet th	e culpability cri	teria.	,	***************************************
liki L]	***************************************
Good Faith Effort	to Comply		0%	Reduction		Subtotal 5	\$0
	Before NOV	NOV to ED	PRP/Settlement Offer		the conditions of the control of the con-		
Extraordinary			38E				***************************************
Ordinary N/A	× (mark with x					
	······································			a good faith ari	Yada	1	
Notes	ine Re	spondeni	does not meet th	e good faith cri	teria.		
_			09/	Fahananwant		Subtotal 6	\$0
	Total EB Amounts	\$1,615	Andread Service and Anneal Servi	Enhancement* d at the Total EB \$	Amount	Subiolal 0	ΨΟ
Approx. Co	ost of Compliance	\$11,372					
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	1-7					Final Subtotal	\$12,750
SOM OF SUBTOTALS	45 6 5 (10.20)					Tilai Subiolai	Ψ12,700
OTHER FACTORS AS	JUSTICE M	AY REC	UIRE	9%		Adjustment	\$1,122
Reduces or enhances the Final Sul	ototal by the indicate	ed percentag	ge.			1	
Notes	Recommen	ded adjus	stment to capture	the avoided co	st benefit		
Notes		asso	ciated with violation	on no. 1.			
L					Final Pe	nalty Amount	\$13,872
en en la la la la manda de la manda de la la la companya de la			operation of the second of			was a contrary	
STATUTORY LIMIT AL	DJUSTMENT				Final Ass	essed Penalty	\$13,872
BEEDBAL				000/		Adjustment	-\$2,774
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Penal	ty by the indicted pe	ercentage.	(Enter number only; e.	20% g. 20 for 20% redu	Reduction ction.)	Adjustment	-\$2,114
		<u></u>					
Notes	Ĺ	Deferral o	ffered for expedite	ed settlement.			
L	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					1	
Link in the case of the control of the case of the cas						seri girsin dinangansi	

Screening Date 8-Feb-2008

Docket No. 2008-0255-MSW-E

Respondent Friedman Recycling of El Paso, LP

Case ID No. 35370

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105231880

Media [Statute] Municipal Solid Waste

Enf. Coordinator Clinton Sims

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision January 29, 2008

Com	unliance History	Compliance History Worksheet Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)	Several de la companya de la company		
00111	Component	The state of the s	Enter Number Here	Adjust.	
	NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%	
		Other written NOVs	1 1	2%	
		Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%	
	Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denia of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitor emergency orders issued by the commission	y O	0%	
	Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%	
·	and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final cour judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	t 1 0	0%	
		Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	
	Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%	
	Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%	
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas E	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	9 0	0%	
		Ple	ase Enter Yes or No		
		Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
	Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	a No	0%	
	Other	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	
		Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal governmen environmental requirements	New York Control of the Control of t	0%	
		Adjustment i	Percentage (S	ubtotal 2)	29
Repe	eat Violator (Su	btotal 3)	in Stantage >		5 5
	No	Adjustment I	Percentage (Si	ubtotal 3)	09
Com	pliance History	Person Classification (Subtotal 7)			
	Average Pe	erformer Adjustment I	Percentage (S	ubtotal 7)	09
Com	pliance History	Summary		AND THE PROPERTY OF SHIP	
	Compliance History Notes	The Respondent received one NOV without same or similar violations during the past	five years.		
				_	

Screening Date	8-Feb-2008	Docket No. 2008-0255-MSW-E	PCW
Respondent	Friedman Recycling of El Paso, LP		Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.			PCW Revision January 29, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	. RN105231880		
Media [Statute]	Municipal Solid Waste		
Enf. Coordinator			
Violation Number	1		
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin.	Code §§ 37.921 and 328.5(d)	
Violation Description	facility that stores combustible mater Specifically, the Respondent did	nancial assurance for the closure of a recycrial (plastics, newspaper and cardboard) out not have financial assurance at the time of investigation.	tdoors.
		Base F	Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property	and Human Health Matrix		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Harm		
Release OR Actua			*
Potentia		Percent 0%	
Totoma	'[3,0	
>>Programmatic Matrix			
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor		
	Х	Percent 10%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Matrix Notes	100% of the rule requ	irement was not met.	
	i de la compania del compania del compania de la compania del la compania de la compania della c		<u>ilviral</u>
		Adjustment	\$9,000
			12.403
			\$1,000
Violation Events			
Number of Vi	iolation Events 1	66 Number of violation days	
	daily		
	monthly	Violation Page I	Penalty \$1,000
mark only one with an x	semiannual	Violation Base I	renalty \$1,000
	annual x		
	single event		
	One annual event	is recommended.	
L			
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	nis violation	Statutory Limit Test	
Estimate	ed EB Amount \$1	,178 Violation Final Penalt	ty Total \$1,110
		tion Final Accessed Provides to disease 4.5.5	(1) C1 440
	This violat	tion Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	limits) \$1,110

		Ë	conomic E	Benefit W	orks	sheet		
	Respondent	Friedman Recy	cling of El Paso, LP					
	Case ID No.	35370						
F	Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN105231880					and the second	
	Media	Municipal Solid	Waste				**************************************	Years of
	Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
	Violation No.		10 20000			A MARKETON CO.		
			Addition to the second	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1			5.0	15
		Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
	Item Description	No commas or \$				and the substitution of the		
	Delayed Costs		Access of Contractives				F	
	Egulpment	diamental de la constitución de la	l l		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Engineering/construction			***************************************	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	. \$0
	Record Keeping System				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
	Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
	Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0.	n/a	\$0
	Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a =	\$0
	Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
								- 10
	Notes for DELAYED costs							
	Notes for DELATED costs							
			- File (#1)				1	34
	Avoided Costs	ANN	IUALIZE [1] avoide	d costs before e	nterina	item (except for o	one-time avoided o	osts)
	Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0 I	\$0
	Personnel		and the second second second		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Financial Assurance [2]	\$1,122	27-Apr-2007	4-Dec-2007	1.0	\$56	\$1,122	\$1,178
	ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		par en er aller angele		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				** *** *******************************		1
		Estimated cost	of obtaining financia	al assurance for the	ne Facili	tv. Date Required	ls the beginning date	e of the violation
	Notes for AVOIDED costs					investigation date		C C. GIO VIOIDIO
	1	and the second		and the mid bu	10 110	Souganon dato	Maria de la compansión de La compansión de la compa	
	Į <u>l</u>				-			100000000000000000000000000000000000000
	Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$1,122			TOTAL		\$1,178

Screening Date	8-Feb-2008	Docket No. 2008-0255-MSW-E	PCW
Respondent	Friedman Recycling of E	El Paso, LP	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.	35370		PCW Revision January 29, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN105231880		
Media [Statute]	Municipal Solid Waste		
Enf. Coordinator	Clinton Sims		The state of the s
Violation Number	2		
Rule Cite(s)		30 Tex. Admin. Code § 328.5(h)	
Violation Description	managed combustible	prevention and suppression plan. Specifically, the R materials (plastic, newspaper and cardboard) and die n and suppression plan at the time of the investigation	d not have a
			ase Felialty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property	and Human Health N	Matrix -	
Zimomigites, 139po. g.	Harm		28xe8
Release		te Minor	
OR Actua			_
Potentia	x	Percent 25°	<u>6</u>]

>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Modera l	te Minor	
raisilication	Major Moderat	Percent 0°	7 6
		Terochi 0	101
Matrix Notes Human hea		ill or could be exposed to significant amounts of pollu ould exceed protective levels.	tants which
		Adjustment	\$7,500
		//cjastinoite	
			\$2,500
Violation Events			
Number of Vi	olation Events 3	66 Number of violation	dave
Number of Vi	olation Events 3	INUMBER OF VIOLATION	uays
mark only one with an x	daily monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event	Violation E	Base Penalty \$7,500
	4.11		to to the
Three m		mended from the December 4, 2007 investigation da bruary 8, 2008 screening date.	te to the
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	nis violation	Statutory Limit	lest
Estimate	d EB Amount	\$217 Violation Final F	Penalty Total \$8,323
		This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjuste	ed for limits) \$8,323

Economic Benefit Worksheet SERVICE SERVICE Respondent Friedman Recycling of El Paso, LP Case ID No. 35370 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105231880 Media Municipal Solid Waste Percent Interest Violation No. 2 Depreciation Item Cost **Date Required** Yrs Interest Saved **Final Date Onetime Costs** Item Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** Equipment 0.0 \$0 Buildings 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) \$0 0,0 \$0 Engineering/construction 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Land 0.0 \$0 n/a Record Keeping System 0,0 \$0 n/a Training/Sampling 0.0 n/a Remediation/Disposal 0.0 \$0 n/a \$0 **Permit Costs** 0.0 n/a Other (as needed) \$217 0.9 \$217 Estimated cost to submit an acceptable site specific fire prevention and suppression plan, The Date Required is Notes for DELAYED costs the investigation date and the Final Date is the expected date of compliance. ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering Item (except for one-time avoided costs) **Avoided Costs** Disposal 0,0 \$0 \$0 0,0 Personnel \$0 \$0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Supplies/equipment \$0 \$0 0.0 \$0 Financial Assurance [2] 0.0 \$0 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.0 Other (as needed) 0.0 \$0 Notes for AVOIDED costs Approx. Cost of Compliance \$5,000 TOTAL \$217

Respondent Friedman Recycling of El Paso, LP Case ID No. 35370 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105231880 Media [Statute] Municipal Solid Waste	
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105231880 Media [Statute] Municipal Solid Waste	anuary 29, 2008
Media [Statute] Municipal Solid Waste	
	5
Enf. Coordinator Clinton Sims	
Violation Number 3	a
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 328.5(c)	
Violation Description Failed to submit a written closure cost estimate to the TCEQ. Specifically, the Respondent did not obtain closure cost estimate prior to beginning operations. Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor OR Actual Potential Potential Percent 0%	
	er-copanie
>>Programmatic Matrix	
Falsification Major Moderate Minor	
X Percent 10%	
Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
Adjustment \$9,000	and an analysis of the second analysis of the second analysis of the second and an analysis of the second and an analysis of the second and an analysis of t
	·
	\$1,000
Violation Events	
Number of Violation Events 1 66 Number of violation days	
mark only one with an x daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event x	\$1,000
One single event is recommended.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estimated EB Amount \$3 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$1,110
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$1,110

	E	conomic l	Benefit W	orks	sheet		
Respondent	Friedman Recv	cling of El Paso, LF	•		a de la companya del companya del companya de la co		•
Case ID No.		g v ussa,					
Reg. Ent. Reference No.							
		14/1-				Marine and the second s	
	Municipal Solid	vvaste				Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	, 3	The second of the bankening of the				All TANKS	Depreciation
					1/78/52/2019	5.0	. 18
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description							
Delayed Costs	<u> </u>		e		11. <i>1</i> 3.0 a.w	E.E.	
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	\$250	4-Dec-2007	28-Feb-2008	0.2	\$3	n/a	\$3
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
	Estimated cost	to obtain closure o	net estimate. The	Data R	Antifred is the invi	It has atch anitonites	o Final Data is
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	11,44	A WATER STATE	the dat	e of con	npliance.	estigation date and the	
	11,44	A WATER STATE	the dat	e of con	npliance.	estigation date and the one-time avoided cone-time avoided cone-ti	
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	11,44	A WATER STATE	the dat	e of con	npliance. Item (except for	one-time avoided c	osts)
Avoided Costs	11,44	A WATER STATE	the dat	e of con	npliance. item (except for \$0	one-time avoided c	osts) \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	11,44	A WATER STATE	the dat	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided c	so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	11,44	A WATER STATE	the dat	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided c	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	11,44	A WATER STATE	the dat	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided c	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	11,44	A WATER STATE	the dat	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided c	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	11,44	A WATER STATE	the dat	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided c	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	11,44	A WATER STATE	the dat	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided c	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Sci	eening Date 8-Feb-2008	L	Jocket No. 2008-0255-MSW-	- <u>L</u>	PCW
	Respondent Friedman Red	ycling of El Paso, LP		Policy Rev	ision 2 (September 2002)
	Case ID No. 35370			PCW F	Revision January 29, 2008
Rea Ent Re	eference No. RN105231880)			
	dia [Statute] Municipal Soli				
	Coordinator Clinton Sims	a wasic			
Vic	<u> </u>				
	Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admi	in Code § 330.7(a)		
	<u> </u>				
Violati	on Description recyclable ma	aterial that contains more the ally, the Respondent has pro	r alternative compliance for the an incidental amounts of non-re ocessed recyclable material that e since the operations began on	cyclable waste.	
				Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environment	al, Property and Human	Health Matrix	1 de la companya de l		
E 12 15	And the second of the second s	Harm			
	Release Major	Moderate Minor	1		
OR	Actual		_		
10.1	Potential		Percent	0%	
>>Programmation			No. of State State		
	Falsification Major	Moderate Minor	1		
1	X		Percent	10%	
Matrix Notes		100% of the rule requirem			Acceptance to the second control of the seco
海 景 			Adjustment	\$9,000	1
				Г	\$1,000
				L	\$1,000
Violation Events					
	Number of Violation Events	3	66 Number of violation	on days	
	Al-alli.				roproportempara
	daily monthly mark only one with an x semiannual annual single event	X	Violatio	n Base Penalty	\$3,000
	Three monthly events a	re recommended from the I February 8, 2008 scr	December 4, 2007 investigation reening date.	date to the	And the second s
Economic Bene	fit (EB) for this violation		Statutory Lim	it Test	
	Estimated EB Amount	\$217	Violation Fina	al Penalty Total	\$3,329
	•		ʻ ı Final Assessed Penalty (adju	sted for limite\	\$3,329
		Tilla Vividuoli	i i mai Assesseu Fenany (duju		Ψ0,029

	L.	conomic I	Benefit W	orks	sheet		
Respondent	Friedman Recv	cling of El Paso, LF	•				. The state of the
Case ID No.		y,					
Reg. Ent. Reference No.							
		Masta				1	
	Municipal Solid	vvaste				Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No	. 4		45.74.01			777030	Depreciation
	1		1434			5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	7 () () () () () () () () () (- 11 - 11 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12	
item bescription	i No commas or a			ALWEST .			
Doloved Conta	The State of the S						
Delayed Costs		 		1	T 66		<u> </u>
Equipment Buildings			-	0,0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
				0.0	\$0		
Other (as needed)					\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0		\$0	\$0
Land		<u> </u>			\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs	\$5,000	4-Dec-2007	16-Oct-2008	0.0	\$217	n/a n/a	\$0 \$217
Other (as needed)	φ0,000	4-Dec-2007	10-OCI-2006	0.0	\$0.	n/a n/a	
outer (as necees)							
Notes for DELAYED costs		The same of the sa	date	ed is the	oliance.	and the Final Date	is the expected
, ,		The same of the sa	date	ed is the	oliance.	and the Final Date	is the expected
Notes for DELAYED costs		The same of the sa	date	ed is the	oliance.		is the expected
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs		The same of the sa	date	ed is the of comp ntering	oliance. Item (except for	one-time avoided c	is the expected
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal	· Santagai	The same of the sa	date	ed is the of comp	oliance. Item (except for \$0	one-time avoided c	is the expected
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	· Santagai	The same of the sa	date	ed is the of compositering	item (except for \$0 \$0	one-time avoided o	is the expected
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	· Santagai	The same of the sa	date	ed is the of compositering 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided o	is the expected
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	· Santagai	The same of the sa	date	ed is the of compositering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	is the expected sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	· Santagai	The same of the sa	date	ed is the of composite ring 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	ollance. Item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	is the expected sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	· Santagai	The same of the sa	date	ed is the of composition of composit	tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	s the expected (sosts)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	· Santagai	The same of the sa	date	ed is the of composition of composit	tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	s the expected (sosts)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	· Santagai	The same of the sa	date	ed is the of composition of composit	tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	s the expected (sosts)
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	· Santagai	The same of the sa	date	ed is the of composition of composit	tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	s the expected (sosts)

Compliance History

CN603194176 Friedman Recycling of El Paso, LP Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 2.50 Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: Regulated Entity: RN105231880 FRIEDMAN RECYCLING OF EL Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 2.50 **PASO** ID Number(s): 5835 WREN AVE, EL PASO, TX, 79924 Rating Date: 9/1/2007 Repeat Violator: NO Location: TCEQ Region: REGION 06 - EL PASO Date Compliance History Prepared: January 15, 2008 Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement January 15, 2003 to January 15, 2008 Compliance Period: TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History Clinton Sims Phone: (512) 239-6933 Name: **Site Compliance History Components** 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? N/A 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A Components (Multimedia) for the Site: Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. В. Chronic excessive emissions events. C. N/A The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D. 1 08/07/2007 (571320)2 11/07/2007 (600578)3 01/03/2008 (612312)E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) Date: 08/08/2007 (571320)Classification: Self Report? Major 30 TAC Chapter 281, SubChapter A 281.25(a)(1) Citation: Description: Failure to have a multi-sector storm water discharge permit. Environmental audits. N/A Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates. Η. N/A ١. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program. N/A Early compliance.

N/A Sites Outside of Texas

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
FRIEDMAN RECYCLING OF EL	§	
PASO, LP	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
RN105231880		

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2008-0255-MSW-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Friedman Recycling of El Paso, LP ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 361 and TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a municipal solid waste recycling facility at 5835 Wren Avenue in El Paso, El Paso County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Facility involves or involved the management of municipal solid waste as defined in TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 361.
- 3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about January 9, 2008.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Thirteen Thousand Eight Hundred Seventy-Two Dollars (\$13,872) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Four Hundred Twenty-Three Dollars (\$423) of the administrative penalty and Two Thousand Seven Hundred Seventy-Four Dollars (\$2,774) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Ten Thousand Six Hundred Seventy-Five Dollars (\$10,675) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of Three Hundred Five Dollars (\$305) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent submitted to the TCEQ an acceptable closure cost estimate on February 28, 2008.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to establish and maintain financial assurance for the closure of a recycling facility that stores combustible material (plastics, newspaper and cardboard) outdoors, in violation of 30 TEX.

ADMIN. CODE §§ 37.921 and 328.5(d), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 4, 2007. Specifically, the Respondent did not have financial assurance at the time of the investigation.

- 2. Failed to have a fire prevention and suppression plan, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 328.5(h), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 4, 2007. Specifically, the Respondent managed combustible materials (plastic, newspaper and cardboard) and did not have a fire prevention and suppression plan at the time of the investigation.
- 3. Failed to submit a written closure cost estimate to the TCEQ, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 328.5(c), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 4, 2007. Specifically, the Respondent did not submit a written closure cost estimate prior to beginning operations.
- 4. Failed to obtain a permit, registration or alternative compliance for the processing of recyclable material that contains more than incidental amounts of non-recyclable waste, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin Code § 330.7(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 4, 2007. Specifically, the Respondent has processed recyclable material that contained approximately 20% non-recyclable waste since the operations began on April 27, 2007.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Friedman Recycling of El Paso, LP, Docket No. 2008-0255-MSW-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
 - i. Submit documentation that demonstrates acceptable financial assurance for the closure of the Facility, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 328.5(d) and 37.921 to:

Financial Assurance Team, MC 184 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13807 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

- ii. Develop and implement a fire prevention and suppression plan that shall be made available to the local fire prevention authority having jurisdiction over the Facility for review and coordination, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 328.5(h);
- iii. Obtain a permit, registration or alternative compliance for the processing of recyclable material that contains more than incidental amounts of non-recyclable waste, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN CODE §§ 330.7(a) and 328.4;

Submit documentation, including docket number, for Ordering Provisions Nos. 2.a.i. through 2.a.ii. to:

Municipal Solid Waste Permits Section, MC 124 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13807 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

and

Waste Section, Manager El Paso Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 401 East Franklin Avenue, Suite 560 El Paso, Texas 79901-1206

b. Within 75 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provisions Nos. 2.a.i. through 2.a.iii.

The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

in the second of the second of

Friedman Recycling of El Paso, LP DOCKET NO. 2008-0255-MSW-E Page 5

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section, Manager El Paso Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 401 East Franklin Avenue, Suite 560 El Paso, Texas 79901-1206

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

and the second of the second o

Friedman Recycling of El Paso, LP DOCKET NO. 2008-0255-MSW-E Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
	÷
For the Executive Director	8/25/2008 Date
anached Arreed Order on behalf of the entity ind	attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the licated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms owledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the esentation.
 timely pay the penalty amount, may result in: A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications Referral of this case to the Attorney Gen penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a colleting in any future enforcem 	eral's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional ection agency; ient actions; 1's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
In addition, any falsification of any compliance do	ocuments may result in criminal prosecution.
	8-13-2008
Signature	Date
DAJID FRIEdra	
Name (Printed or typed)	Title
Authorized Representative of	
Friedman Recycling of El Paso, LP	

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.